



Practice Based Research:

Patient engagement, subject recruitment, and data collection

William J. Sieber, Ph.D.

Professor, Division of Family Medicine

Research Director & Associate Director of Collaborative Care

UC San Diego
HEALTH SYSTEM

Patient Engagement

- Engagement versus recruitment
- Reasons to engage patients
 - Identify blindspots/biases
 - Better understand needs/barriers
 - Grantsmanship (PCORI)
- When to engage patients (even if retro data extraction)
 - Focus groups/patient interviews/Patient Advisory Committees (PACs)
 - Inform design, method, and/or outcomes
 - Recruitment

Subject recruitment I

- What is patient's incentive?
 - Often over-estimated (graduate student mentality)
 - Financial
 - Clinical
 - Altruistic
 - Burden of involvement (e.g., time, transportation, discomfort)
 - Privacy concerns

Subject recruitment II

- Recruitment methods (how might sample be biased), IRB
 - Community/newspapers
 - Flyers in waiting rooms, bathrooms (critical wording? Tear-aways and drop-box minimize effort; patient consultants)
 - Waiting room/staff approach
 - Registries
 - My Chart (allows identification of eligibility)
 - Physician-identified (too busy, make easy to identify and refer, warm hand-off)
 - Physician incentives and concerns? (CMMI, Scribe, depression screening)

Data Collection I

- What?
 - Content (what is primary, what is secondary?)
 - PROs
 - Clinical markers
 - Attitudes, knowledge, intentions
 - Behaviors (e.g., utilization)
 - Standard scale versus home grown: benefits & drawbacks

Data Collection II

- What is hypothesis & purpose?
 - Reducing anger or knowledge of anger mgt skills
 - Satisfaction: with provider, clinic, health
 - Perception vs. behavior (key with self-report)
- How has it been measured in the past?
- What needs to be added?
- Modify existing tools
 - Normative data, known psychometrics
 - Goldilocks is never satisfied !
 - Back away from the questionnaire !

Data Collection III:

Level of data determines (almost) everything!

Types of scales

- Nominal (categorical)
- Ordinal: measures magnitude (relative ranking)
- Interval: equal intervals
- Ratio: absolute zero

Data Collection IV

- Type or level of data limits sensitivity of data analysis
 - Diabetes : yes/no → non-parametric statistics, whereas comparing A1c of two groups allows more options with more powerful statistics (i.e., data reduction lowers power)
- “Higher” level can often be ‘reduced’ to a “lower” level (e.g., continuous vs. dichotomous) but ‘you can’t go back’
- Are you willing to sacrifice sensitivity for convenience (↑ items → ↓ participants, ↑ cognitive demand → ↑ missing data); ‘delay data reduction as long as you can’ - -- so go for ‘item efficiency’
- Is expected distribution of phenomenon captured by your format? (magical DBP of 90, ‘4 or more’ MD visits/year would exclude phone calls to clinic & not be utilization)

Data Collection V: Bias

- Method of collection: Phone, face-to-face, mail, internet
- Selection/response bias
 - Socio-demographic
 - Clinical variables (sick people visit clinic waiting rooms)
 - on-line = ageism? Digital divide

Data Collection VI: Response bias in PROs

- Social desirability
- Recall bias
 - Rare/significant events have longer decay curves (frequency of behavior last week, month, year)
 - Behaviors are less prone to bias (brushing teeth) than subjective impressions/ratings (generous, kind)
- Response set: changing valence (+ -) periodically
- Cognitive dissonance: “I felt so much worse than before this remarkable treatment!”

Data Collection VII

- How ? (entered & collected)
 - Patient report: psychometric issues
 - In clinic/US mail
 - Email/My Chart
 - Clinically relevant/flowsheets
- When?
 - How often expect change? (PHQ-9, A1c, weight, sustained change)
 - Patient burden/attrition: privacy, costs/postage, # questions

Key Points

- Wording is very important ...get a consult
- Pilot testing is critical ...get a consult
- Make it shorter ...get a consult
- Scoring is hard ...get a consult
- Every step of the way...get a consult.....from patients, from statisticians, from researchers !